

Planning Team Report

Planning proposal to permit 'restaurants or cafes' with development consent in Zone RE1 Public Recreation. Proposal Title :: Planning proposal to permit 'restaurants or cafes' with development consent in Zone RE1 Public Recreation. Proposal Summary The planning proposal seeks to amend North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013 (NSLEP 2013) to permit 'restaurants or cafes' with development consent in Zone RE1 Public Recreation. The aim is to provide greater flexibility for Council to respond to future development scenarios concerning the use of all its parks and reserves zoned RE1 Public Recreation and remove any doubt that 'outdoor dining' can be undertaken as exempt development. PP Number : PP_2015_NORTH_007_00 Dop File No : 15/13845 **Proposal Details** Date Planning LGA covered : North Sydney 07-Sep-2015 Proposal Received : RPA: North Sydney Council Region : Metro(CBD) Section of the Act : State Electorate : **NORTH SHORE** 55 - Planning Proposal LEP Type : Policy **Location Details** Street : City : Postcode : Suburb : Land Parcel : All land zoned RE1 Public Recreation on Land Zoning Maps in NSLEP2013 (LZN_001 - LZN_004) **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Contact Name : Lauren Templeman Contact Number : 0292286590 Contact Email : lauren.templeman@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details** Contact Name : **Katerina Pikoulas** 0299368100 Contact Number : Contact Email : Katerina.Pikoulas@northsydney.nsw.gov.au **DoP Project Manager Contact Details** Contact Name : **Tim Archer** Contact Number : 0292286592 Contact Email : tim.archer@planning.nsw.gov.au

Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment :	Yes		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :	The Department of Planning and E communication and meetings with (East) has not met any lobbyist in advised of any meetings between proposal.	h lobbyists has been complie relation to this proposal, no	ed with' Metropolitan Region r has the Director been
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	Land uses previously permitted in 2001, included "refreshment room house or the like"		
	Land uses currently permitted wit 2013, include "kiosks" which mea food, light refreshments and othe	ins "premises that are used f	
	As a result, the current proposal v permitted under the previous LEP		
	permitted use in Zone RE1 Public Standard Instrument LEPs (ie. Ma		ted in a number of other
		nly, Woollahra). delegation to carry out the M Environmental Planning and	inister's plan-making Assessment Act 1979 (the
External Supporting Notes :	Standard Instrument LEPs (ie. Ma North Sydney Council is seeking functions under section 59 of the	nly, Woollahra). delegation to carry out the M Environmental Planning and	inister's plan-making Assessment Act 1979 (the
Notes :	Standard Instrument LEPs (ie. Ma North Sydney Council is seeking of functions under section 59 of the EP&A Act). Delegation is consider	nly, Woollahra). delegation to carry out the M Environmental Planning and	inister's plan-making Assessment Act 1979 (the
	Standard Instrument LEPs (ie. Ma North Sydney Council is seeking of functions under section 59 of the EP&A Act). Delegation is consider	nly, Woollahra). delegation to carry out the M Environmental Planning and	inister's plan-making Assessment Act 1979 (the
Notes : dequacy Assessmen	Standard Instrument LEPs (ie. Ma North Sydney Council is seeking o functions under section 59 of the EP&A Act). Delegation is consider	nly, Woollahra). delegation to carry out the M Environmental Planning and	inister's plan-making Assessment Act 1979 (the

(NSLEP 2013) to permit 'restaurants or cafes' in Zone RE1 Public Recreation. The aim is to provide greater flexibility for Council to respond to future development scenarios concerning the use of all its parks and reserves zoned RE1 Public Recreation.

A further objective of this proposal is to remove any doubt for Council that 'outdoor dining' can be undertaken as exempt development in Zone RE1 Public Recreation under Subdivision 20A Footpaths - outdoor dining, of State Environmental Planning Policy (Exempt and Complying Development) 2008 (Codes SEPP).

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The intent of the proposal will be achieved by amending the Land Use Table for Zone RE1 Public Recreation to permit 'restaurants and cafes' with development consent.

'Outdoor dining' is not a defined land use in the NSLEP 2013, however, it is considered an ancillary activity to a 'food and drink premises' which includes the following:

- a restaurant or café; - take away food and drink premises;
- a pub
- a small bar

The amendment is restricted to 'restaurants and cafes' to prevent unintended land uses within the RE1 Zone. In NSLEP 2013 a 'restaurant or cafe' means a building or place the principal purpose of which is the preparation and serving on a retail basis, of food and drink to people for consumption on the premises, whether or not liquor, take away meals and drinks or entertainment are also provided.

At present, a 'restaurant or café' is listed as an additional permitted use, under Schedule 1 of NSLEP 2013, at 4 and 41 Alfred Street South, Milsons Point, which are both zoned RE1 Public Recreation. The proposed amendment overcomes this need. It is therefore proposed to also delete item 22(2)(b) of Schedule 1 and item 23(2)(b) of Schedule 1, allowing a 'restaurant or cafe' as an additional permitted use at these locations.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy	been agreed to by th	ne Director General? No
a) has obtained strategy	been agreed to by a	

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 60-Exempt and Complying Development e) List any other The planning proposal is not inconsistent with any relevant SEPPs or Section 117 matters that need to Directions. be considered : In relation to SEPP No 60 - Exempt and Complying Development, Council notes that the SEPP is silent on whether a land use must first be permissible in a zone for it to qualify as exempt development. In this instance, Council notes that it is unclear whether the Codes SEPP requires 'restaurants and cafes' to be a permissible land use in Zone RE1 Public Recreation in order for 'outdoor dining' (considered ancillary to 'restaurants and cafes') to qualify as exempt development.

As noted above, a key aim of this proposal is to clarify this situation.

Have inconsistencies wit	th items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? N/A
If No, explain :	
Mapping Provided - s	s55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided? Ye	35
Comment :	The planning proposal does not require the amendment of any maps to NSLEP 2013.
Community consulta	ntion - s55(2)(e)
Has community consulta	tion been proposed? Yes
Comment :	Consultation will be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the Gateway determination.
Additional Director G	General's requirements
Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	
Overall adequacy of	the proposal
Does the proposal meet	the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	
Proposal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date : August 2013	k
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The North Sydney LEP 2013 was gazetted in August 2013.
Assessment Criteria	
Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is the result of a Council initiative to review its Zone RE1 Public Recreation provisions relating to outdoor dining. This review was prompted by an application from a Neutral Bay business owner to undertake outdoor dining, adjacent to their café, in the centre of May Gibbs Place. May Gibbs Place is a road reserve, zoned RE1 Public Recreation, between May Lane and Military Road, Neutral Bay.
	The review highlighted the unreasonable restriction placed on activities such as 'outdoor dining' in seven road reserves, zoned RE1 Public Recreation, which function as active plazas. They include;
	- Brett Whitely Place, North Sydney; - Cremorne Garden Plaza, Cremorne;
	- Ernest Place, Crows Nest; New Gibbs Place, Newtral Bay:
	- May Gibbs Place, Neutral Bay; - Mitchell Street Plaza, St Leonards;
	- Langley Place, Cremorne; and - St Peters Park, North Sydney.
	The scope of the current planning proposal extends beyond these seven areas to include all parks and reserves in North Sydney LGA zoned RE1 Public Recreation.
	Council identified four possible options to address the objectives of the planning proposal. Option One, to permit 'restaurants and cafes' in all RE1 Public Recreation zones

	with consent, is supported by Council as the only solution that fully addresses its objectives. The focus of options two, three and four (below) is restricted to the desire for more activity in the seven plaza locations.
	Option Two: Additional Permitted Uses Insert an Additional Permitted Use provision in Schedule 1 of NSLEP 2013, stating that development for the purpose of 'restaurants and cafes' is permitted with development consent with respect to the seven road reserves listed above.
	Option Three: Local Provision Insert a local provision in Part 6 of NSLEP 2013, stating that development for the purposes of 'outdoor dining' used in relation to a 'restaurant or cafe' is permitted with consent in all land zoned RE1 Public Recreation, provided the development is within a road reserve and located directly adjacent to land zoned B1 Neighbourhood Centre, B3 Commercial Core or B4 Mixed Use.
	Option Four: Amend SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development) 2008 Amend the SEPP to clarify whether a land use must first be permissible in a zone for it to qualify as exempt development. This would clarify whether 'restaurants and cafes' must be permissible in Zone RE1 Public Recreation for outdoor dining to be considered exempt development in this instance.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is not inconsistent with the objectives of A Plan for Growing Sydney and supports key directions in the draft Inner North Subregional Strategy, such as provision of a diverse mix of parks and public open spaces by improving the quality of open space and providing for urban civic space in planning for centres.
	The planning proposal is consistent with relevant Directions of the North Sydney Local Development Strategy (2009) and the North Sydney Council Delivery Program 2010/11 - 2013/14. These include: Public open space, recreation facilities and services that meet community needs.
Environmental social economic impacts :	Environmental: In part, the proposal relates to road reserves in urbanised areas. Adverse impacts on critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, are unlikely in these instances.
	For the most part, the proposal relates to public parks which may potentially contain critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats. It is noted that the likelihood of any adverse impact on a public park classified as 'community land' is very low given that the use of these spaces is controlled by a Plan of Management prepared under the Local Government Act 1993.
	Where a Plan of Management is not in place (ie. for parcels zoned RE1 currently in private ownership), it is noted that any development proposal will be subject to a merit assessment taking into consideration all matters in Clause 6.7 Development in Zone RE1 or Zone RE2, of NSLEP 2013. Again, this would reduce the likelihood of any adverse environmental or social impacts.
	For privately owned land identified for acquisition for public purposes, Clause 5.1A of NSLEP 2013 restricts the use of this land to 'environmental facilities' and 'recreation areas' only, meaning 'restaurants or cafes' would still be prohibited.
	Social and Economic: Where the proposal relates to the use of road reserves, there may be adverse impacts on pedestrian flows and additional noise impacts. These impacts are best considered on a case by case basis. However, for the seven road reserves identified by the Council review, noise impacts are likely to be minimal given these spaces adjoin land zoned for business purposes and most are already used for outdoor dining.

Assessment Process					
A336331161111106636	•				
Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :					
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional st	udies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reasons	s :				
No internal consultation Is the provision and func If Yes, reasons :	ling of state infrastruct The planning prop	osal does i	t to this plan? No not seek to increase develop d for public infrastructure.	ment on any sit	e. As such, it
Decumento					
Documents			DocumentType N		Is Public
()			Proposal Coveri		Yes
cover letter.pdf council report.pdf			Study	ig Letter	Yes
planning proposal.pdf			Proposal		Yes
lanning Team Recom	mendation				
Preparation of the plann	ing proposal supporte	d at this stag	ge:Recommended with Co	nditions	
S.117 directions:	4.4 Planning for B 6.3 Site Specific P 7.1 Implementatio	rovisions	tection for Growing Sydney		
Additional Information :	It is recommended conditions:	d that the p	anning proposal proceed, s	ubject to the fol	lowing
	1. The planning p	roposal be j	oublicly exhibited for a perio	d of not less that	an 14 days.
	2. A public hearing	g is not req	uired.		
	3. North Sydney C	council be g	ranted delegation to carry o	ut the Minister's	functions under

	section 59 of the EP&A Act 1979 to progress this planning proposal.
	4. The planning proposal is to be finalised within 9 months from the date of the gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is supported as: - it will provide greater flexibility for Council to respond to future development scenarios concerning the use of all its parks and reserves zoned RE1 Public Recreation and remove any doubt that 'outdoor dining' can be undertaken as exempt development.
Signature:	7. Jan
Printed Name:	Tim ARCHER Date: 29.9.15

